



Univerza v Mariboru

Fakulteta za zdravstvene vede

Žitna ulica 15
2000 Maribor, Slovenija

AUTHOR/REVIEWER GUIDELINES

General policies

The abstract should be written clearly and succinctly in a standard Slovene or English language and conform to acceptable language usage. Individual authors bear full responsibility for the content and accuracy of their submissions. The use of footnotes and endnotes is not allowed.

In submitting an abstract, the authors must observe the standard scientific research paper components, the format and typology of documents. The manuscript is eligible for editorial and reviewing process.

Submission guidelines

All submissions should be sent in editable electronic format (e.g. MS Word): it should be submitted in double-spaced (font Calibri, size 12pt, margins: 25mm) on A4 paper size. The title page should indicate the title of the article, full name/s of the author/s, academic qualifications, academic title (if applicable) and affiliated institution, followed by the content of the abstract and key words. The author(s) should list a corresponding author, and provide relevant (contact) details. The abstract should not be shorter than 150 words and longer than 250 words.

Methodological structure of an article

The title, the abstract and the key words must be written in English. A concise but informative title should convey the nature, content and research design of the abstract. It must not exceed 120 characters. Up to six key words separated by a semicolon and not included in the title, define the abstract content and reflect its core topic or message. Abstracts must be structured and should not include references or abbreviations.

A structured abstract is an abstract with distinct, labelled sections for rapid comprehension. It is structured under the following headings:

Introduction: This section states the main question to be answered, and indicates the exact objective of the abstract and the major variables of the study.

Methods: This section provides an overview of the research or experimental design, the research instrument, the reliability of the instrument, methods of data collection, and analysing/indicating where, how and when the data were collected.

Results: This section briefly summarizes and discusses the major findings. The information indicated in this section should be directly connected to the research question. In quantitative studies it is necessary to state the statistical validity and statistical significance of the results.

Discussion and conclusion: This section states the conclusions and discusses the research findings drawn from the results obtained. Presented in this section are also limitations of the study and the implications of the results for practice and relevant further research. Both, the positive and the negative research findings should be adequately presented.

Key words: Key word 1; Key word 2; Key word 3; Key word 4; Key word 5; Key word 6

Cooperation of the authors with the Programme Committee

All abstracts will be sent to anonymous external reviewers, without revealing the authors' personal details. After the reviewing process, the Programme Committee will return the article to the author to approve the corrections, consider the comments and prepare a camera-ready version. The camera-ready version will be sent for proofreading. The author will receive the proof and will be requested to mark any typographical errors. At this stage the text cannot be revised. If the text is not returned within 3 days, the Programme Committee will assume that the form is acceptable.

The authors are responsible for linguistic adequacy of abstracts.